CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

AUG. 14 2017

C.A. LA DATE CONFERMINA DEPUTY

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		) NO. 2:17-MJ-02041-DUTY	
JOSUE	Plaintiff, v.  DANIEL ALFARO,  Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING  (18 U.S.C. § 3142(i))	
		I.	
A. ()	On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:		
	1. ( ) a crime of violence;		
	2. ( ) an offense with a ma	ximum sentence of life imprisonment or death;	
		lled substance offense with a maximum sentence of	
	ten or more years;		
	4. ( ) any felony - where	the defendant has been convicted of two or more	
	prior offenses descri	bed above;	
	5. ( ) any felony that is no	t otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor	

- 1	<u> </u>		
1	victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any		
2	other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.		
3	B. (X) On motion by the Government / ( ) on Court's own motion, in a case alleged		
4	involving:		
5	1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee;		
6	2. ( ) a serious risk that the defendant will:		
7	a. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice;		
8	b. ( ) threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or		
9	attempt to do so.		
10	The Government $()$ is/ $(X)$ is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no		
11	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's		
12	appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.		
13			
14	II.		
15	A. (X) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably		
16	assure:		
17	1. (X) the appearance of the defendant as required.		
18	(X) and/or		
19	2. (X) the safety of any person or the community.		
20	B. () The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the		
21	contrary the presumption provided by statute.		
22			
23	III.		
24	The Court has considered:		
25	the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged;		
26	the weight of evidence against the defendant;		
27	C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and		
28	the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.		

The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report and recommendation.

V.

The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

- A. (X) The history and characteristics of the defendant indicate a serious risk that the defendant will flee, because defendant submitted on the issue and no bail resources have been proffered to mitigate the risk of flight.
- B. (X) The defendant poses a risk to the safety of other persons or the community because of the nature and seriousness of the allegations in this case and the nature and extent of the defendant's prior criminal history, which includes prior felony convictions.

VI.

- A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will:
  - 1. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
  - 2. ( ) attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.

VII.

- A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
- B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.

- C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
- D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

**DATED:** August 14, 2017

KAREN L. STEVENSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE